

Online Appendix to "Collusion through Coordination of Announcements"

Joseph E. Harrington, Jr.* and Lixin Ye†

29 October 2018

Section 6: Collusion

Profit Expression

To show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & B(h, t_1; h, t_2) - B(\phi(t_1), t_1; \phi(t_2), t_2) \\
 = & \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (c_2 - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
 & + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{c_2} (c_2 - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
 & + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
 & \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v),
 \end{aligned}$$

consider

*Department of Business Economics & Public Policy, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, harrij@wharton.upenn.edu

†Department of Economics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, ye.45@osu.edu

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(h, t_1; h, t_2) - B(t_1, t_1; t_2, t_2) \\
= & \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{c_2} (c_2 - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& - \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (R_{t_1 t_2}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& - \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{t_1 t_2}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v)
\end{aligned}$$

and the following sequence of steps

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(h, t_1; h, t_2) - B(t_1, t_1; t_2, t_2) \\
= & \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (c_2 - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{c_2} (c_2 - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& - \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (R_{t_1 t_2}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& - \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{t_1 t_2}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(h, t_1; h, t_2) - B(t_1, t_1; t_2, t_2) \\
= & \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (c_2 - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{c_2} (c_2 - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& - \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{t_1 t_2}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(h, t_1; h, t_2) - B(t_1, t_1; t_2, t_2) \\
= & \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (c_2 - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (c_2 - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{c_2} (c_2 - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& - \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{t_1 t_2}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(h, t_1; h, t_2) - B(t_1, t_1; t_2, t_2) \\
= & \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (c_2 - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (c_2 - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{c_2} (c_2 - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{LL}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{LL}(v)}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(h, t_1; h, t_2) - B(t_1, t_1; t_2, t_2) \\
= & \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (c_2 - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{c_2} (c_2 - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{c_{t_1}}^{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - R_{t_1 t_2}(v)) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v) \\
& + \int_{\underline{v}}^{\bar{v}} \int_{R_{HH}(v)}^{\bar{c}_{t_2}} \int_{R_{t_1 t_2}(v)}^{R_{HH}(v)} (R_{HH}(v) - c_1) dF_{t_1}(c_1) dF_{t_2}(c_2) dG(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Welfare Expression

Expected total welfare under competition is

$$\begin{aligned}
& q \left[2b \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_L^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) + \right. \\
& (1 - b) \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_{LL}^{-1}(\min\{c_1, c_2\})}^{\bar{v}} (v - \min\{c_1, c_2\}) dG(v) dF_L(c_1) dF_L(c_2) \left. \right] \\
& 2q(1 - q) \left[b \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_L^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) + \right. \\
& (1 - b) \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_{LH}^{-1}(\min\{c_1, c_2\})}^{\bar{v}} (v - \min\{c_1, c_2\}) dG(v) dF_L(c_1) dF_H(c_2) \left. \right] \\
& + (1 - q)^2 \left[b \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_H(c) + \right. \\
& (1 - b) \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{R_{HH}^{-1}(\min\{c_1, c_2\})}^{\bar{v}} (v - \min\{c_1, c_2\}) dG(v) dF_H(c_1) dF_H(c_2) \left. \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Expected total welfare under collusion is

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^2 \left[b \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) + \right. \\
& (1 - b) \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_{HH}^{-1}(\min\{c_1, c_2\})}^{\bar{v}} (v - \min\{c_1, c_2\}) dG(v) dF_L(c_1) dF_L(c_2) \left. \right] \\
& + 2q(1 - q) \left[b \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) + \right. \\
& \left. \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_H(c) \right] \\
& + 2q(1 - q)(1 - b) \left[\int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_{HH}^{-1}(\min\{c_1, c_2\})}^{\bar{v}} (v - \min\{c_1, c_2\}) dG(v) dF_L(c_1) dF_H(c_2) \right] \\
& + (1 - q)^2 \left[b \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_H(c) + \right. \\
& (1 - b) \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{R_{HH}^{-1}(\min\{c_1, c_2\})}^{\bar{v}} (v - \min\{c_1, c_2\}) dG(v) dF_H(c_1) dF_H(c_2) \left. \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Subtracting (1) from (2) gives us the change in expected total welfare due to collusion. Re-arranging and simplifying this expression yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(q) \equiv & q^2 \left[\int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\min\{\bar{c}_L, R_H(\bar{v})\}} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) - \right. \\
& \left. \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\min\{\bar{c}_L, R_L(\bar{v})\}} \int_{R_L^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) \right] \\
& + 2q(1 - q) \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\min\{\bar{c}_L, R_H(\bar{v})\}} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) + \right. \\
& \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\min\{\bar{c}_H, R_H(\bar{v})\}} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_H(c) - \\
& \left. \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\min\{\bar{c}_L, R_L(\bar{v})\}} \int_{R_L^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

If $b = 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(q) = & q^2 \left[\int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{R_L^{-1}(c)} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) \right] \\
& + 2q(1 - q) \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{R_L^{-1}(c)} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) + \right. \\
& \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\underline{c}_H}^{\bar{c}_H} \int_{R_H^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_H(c) - \\
& \left. \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\underline{c}_L}^{\bar{c}_L} \int_{R_L^{-1}(c)}^{\bar{v}} (v - c) dG(v) dF_L(c) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Next assume the distributions in Section 6. In that case,

$$R_L(v) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \right) v \text{ and } R_L^{-1}(c) = \left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha} \right) c$$

$$R_H(v) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta + 1} \right) v \text{ and } R_H^{-1}(c) = \left(\frac{\beta + 1}{\beta} \right) c.$$

(4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(q) = & q^2 \left[\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \left(\int_{(\frac{\beta+1}{\beta})c}^1 (v-c) dv \right) \alpha c^{\alpha-1} dc - \int_0^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \left(\int_{(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha})c}^1 (v-c) dv \right) \alpha c^{\alpha-1} dc \right] \\
& + 2q(1-q) \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \left(\int_{(\frac{\beta+1}{\beta})c}^1 (v-c) dv \right) \alpha c^{\alpha-1} dc + \right. \\
& \left. \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \int_{(\frac{\beta+1}{\beta})c}^1 (v-c) dv \right) \beta c^{\beta-1} dc - \right. \\
& \left. \int_0^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \left(\int_{(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha})c}^1 (v-c) dv \right) \alpha c^{\alpha-1} dc \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This is the expression that is evaluated in Figure 2.

Section 7: Collusion for a Class of Parametric Distributions

As all buyers approach only one seller, optimal reserve prices (depending on the seller's revealed type) are

$$R_L(v) = \arg \max_R (v-R)F_L(R) = \arg \max_R (v-R)R^\alpha \Rightarrow R_L(v) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \right) v.$$

$$R_H(v) = \arg \max_R (v-R)F_H(R) = \arg \max_R (v-R)R^\beta \Rightarrow R_H(v) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \right) v.$$

It can be shown that a buyer's expected utility is $\frac{\alpha^\alpha v^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}}$ and $\frac{\beta^\beta v^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}}$ from a low-cost and high-cost seller, respectively. Given $\alpha < \beta$, expected utility is higher from a low-cost seller so a buyer prefers to solicit a bid from that seller type.

It is straightforward but tedious algebra to show that (12) (from the paper) takes the form in (24). Let us show that if $\alpha < \beta$ then the RHS of (24) exceeds the LHS. First note that the denominators are positive because $\frac{\beta}{\beta+1} > \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}$. Letting

$C \equiv \frac{\beta^{\alpha+1}}{(\beta+1)^{\alpha+1}}$, and $D \equiv \frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}}$, $E \equiv \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}}$, and $F \equiv \frac{\alpha^{\beta+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\beta+1}}$, (24) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C-2E}{C-E} \leq \frac{D-2F}{D-F} &\iff (C-2E)(D-F) \leq (C-E)(D-2F) \\ &\iff CD - 2ED - FC + 2EF \leq CD - ED - 2FC + 2EF \\ &\iff FC \leq ED \iff \frac{\alpha^{\beta+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\beta+1}} \frac{\beta^{\alpha+1}}{(\beta+1)^{\alpha+1}} \leq \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}} \\ &\iff \frac{\alpha^{\beta-\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\beta-\alpha}} \leq \frac{\beta^{\beta-\alpha}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta-\alpha}} \iff \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

which holds because $\beta > \alpha$ and $\frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \geq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}$.

Next we prove that if $\alpha < 1$ then $E[\pi^{\text{coll}}] > E[\pi^{\text{comp}}]$. Under competition, the ex-ante expected profit for a seller is

$$\begin{aligned} E[\pi^{\text{comp}}] &= q \left(1 - \frac{q}{2}\right) \int_0^1 \int_0^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}v} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}v - c\right) \alpha c^{\alpha-1} dc dv \\ &\quad + (1-q) \left(\frac{1-q}{2}\right) \int_0^1 \int_0^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}v} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}v - c\right) \beta c^{\beta-1} dc dv \\ E[\pi^{\text{comp}}] &= q \left(1 - \frac{q}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+2}}\right) + (1-q) \left(\frac{1-q}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+2)(\beta+1)^{\beta+2}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The expected collusive profit is

$$\begin{aligned} E[\pi^{\text{coll}}] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[q \int_0^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}v} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}v - c\right) \alpha c^{\alpha-1} dc + (1-q) \int_0^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}v} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}v - c\right) \beta c^{\beta-1} dc \right] dv \\ E[\pi^{\text{coll}}] &= \frac{1}{2} q \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} + \frac{1}{2} (1-q) \frac{1}{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right)^{\beta+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We require (6) > (5) which can be shown to be equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} q \left(\frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+2)(\beta+1)^{\beta+2}} - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+2}} \right) &\tag{7} \\ &< \frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+2)(\beta+1)^{\beta+2}} - 2 \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+2}} + \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+2)(\beta+1)^{\beta+2}} - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+2}} > 0$ then (7) becomes

$$q < 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+2}}}{\frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+2)(\beta+1)^{\beta+2}} - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+2}}}.$$

As the RHS is always greater than 1, this condition holds. If instead $\frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+2)(\beta+1)^{\beta+2}} - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+2}} < 0$ then (7) becomes

$$q > \frac{\frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+2)(\beta+1)^{\beta+2}} - 2\frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+2}} + \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\frac{\beta^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+2)(\beta+1)^{\beta+2}} - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+2}}}. \quad (8)$$

By assumption the denominator is negative. Letting $\Lambda(\beta)$ denote the numerator, note that $\Lambda(\alpha) = 0$ and it can be shown that $\Lambda'(\beta) > 0$ when $\alpha < 1$. Hence, $\Lambda(\beta) \geq \Lambda(\alpha) = 0$ which implies the RHS of (8) is negative in which case it is always true. Thus, a sufficient condition for collusion to be profitable is that the low-cost distribution is concave: $\alpha < 1$.